



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,947	10/31/2003	Barbara A. Paldus	PIC-0115	5969
7590	11/14/2005		EXAMINER	
Herbert Burkard 480 Oakmead Parkway Sunnyvale, CA 94085			DETSCHEL, MARISSA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/700,947	PALDUS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marissa J. Detschel	2877	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 October 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 1-4, 6-9, 11-14, 16, and 17 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 5, 10 and 15 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. <u>11092005</u> .
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: the beamsplitter 9 of Figure 3b as indicated on page 14, lines 3-4 of the last paragraph.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: All of figure 6. No description of figure 6 is set forth in the specification under the section of "Brief Description of the Drawings" of the applicant's disclosure. If applicant amends the specification to introduce the brief description of figure 6, no new matter should be introduced.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed

Art Unit: 2877

150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract submitted includes the language and layout of the claims, and should be rewritten in narrative form.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- the word "thorough" should be "through" on page 6, line 4 of the second full paragraph
- the word "call" should be "cell" on page 6, line 4 of the second full paragraph
- the phrase "path 32" should be "path 36" on page 14, line 10 of the first full paragraph in order to follow the illustrate the figure reference number properly

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 5, 10, and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities:

As to claim 5, the first word "an" should be written as "An."

As to claim 10, the word "opticxal" should be "optical."

As to claim 15, the phrase "which after" should be replaced with "and wherein light emitted from said optical cavity."

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-14, 16 and 17 are allowed.

Claim 5, 10, and 15 are objected to based on the minor informalities presented in this office action, but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome these objections.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the use of a flow cell positioned in a resonant optical cavity at Brewster's angle that provides a round trip path for a source of optical radiation sent through an analyte fluid, wherein the radiation reflected back after being sent through the fluid impinges substantially at the same point that the radiation entered the cavity.

Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This prior art is Paldus et al. (USPN 6,452,680).

Paldus discloses a flow cell of analyte fluid positioned in an optical cavity at Brewster's angle that provides a round trip path for a source of optical radiation sent through the fluid. However, Paldus does not show the radiation reflected back after being sent through the fluid as impinging upon the same point that the radiation entered the cavity.

Conclusion

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

All the formalities set forth in this office action.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.


A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marissa J. Detschel whose telephone number is 571-272-2716. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on 571-272-2059. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marissa Detschel
November 9, 2005
MJD



Gregory J. Toatley, Jr.
Supervisory Patent Examiner

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Gregory J. Toatley, Jr.". Below the signature, the text "Supervisory Patent Examiner" is printed in a smaller, bold, sans-serif font.